



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/146,783	09/03/98	DEACON	96062-IV

HM21/1104
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY AND PRESSER
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA
GARDEN CITY NY 11530

EXAMINER
PARKIN, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1648	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/146,783

Applicant(s)
Deacon et al.

Examiner
Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit
1648



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Michelle/Ann R. Pokalsky (Reg. No. 34,697)

(4) _____

Date of Interview 2 Nov 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant's representative called concerning a notice to comply with the sequence requirements which was sent 25 September, 1998. The Examiner reviewed the application and noticed that applicants have already complied with the sequence requirements (see the papers submitted 03 September, 1998, and the raw sequence listing from 13 October, 1998). Thus, the notice to comply was erroneously sent to applicants and should be vacated. No further action concerning the sequence requirements is necessary by applicants.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


JEFFREY S. PARKIN, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1648

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.